			UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,211	06/29/2000	Aki Nagano	P108131-00000	8161
75	90 10/07/2005	EXAMINER		
	tner Plotkin & Kahn P ut Avenue N W Suite 600	ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3627	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/606,211	NAGANO, AKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 J</u>	<u>uly 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) bijected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		- (DTO 142)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🛄 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

C1-

١

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment filed 7/18/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it

introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment

shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material

which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Each occurrence of the

terms "authorization", "authorized", and "permitted" (relating to the summation of sales

amounts) is new material not supported by the original specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed,

had possession of the claimed invention. In each claim, the term "allowed" (in reference

to the sum-up of transaction amounts) is not fully supported by the original specification.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the first full paragraph of each claim, "the transaction amount" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. in view of Zaiken et al. and further in view of Official Notice.

Yamada et al. disclose a transaction processing system including a cash register machine an outstanding balance settling machine (See for example, Col. 1, lines 50-68).

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it was well known in the art at the time of the invention to define a plurality of unsettled transaction types.

For example: Sears stores have been selling appliances on a prepayment, postdelivery basis for years and it further has delivered goods to preferred customers prior to receiving payment. The exact sequence of the steps are a matter of design choice that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to achieve a desired result.

(See previous Office action, page 7, mailed 3/16/2005 for an example of a Sears transaction)

١.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that the prior art and Official Notice does not teach or suggest a single device that defines a plurality of unsettled transaction types. However, this is not convincing because a single computer can define and execute each one of these transaction types. So, in the case of a Sears computer or POS system, it is a single computer that performs all of the functions.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

٠٧,.

.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (571) 272-6790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (571) 272-3600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-8300.

F. Zeender Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627 October 2, 2005

_____ 10/2/05

F. RYAN ZEENDER PRIMARY EXAMINER